THE BIG CYPRESS BASIN URBAN MOBILE IRRIGATION LAB

2015 3rd Quarter Report

COLLIER SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE BIG CYPRESS BASIN



14700 Immokalee Rd Naples, Florida 34120 (239) 455-4100

Abstract

The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab (MIL) completed 29 evaluations for the third quarter of project year 2015. These evaluations produced Potential Water Savings (PWS) of 8.7 million gallons of water per year (26.8 acre-feet). There were no follow-up evaluations performed for Follow Up Actual Water Savings (FAWS) this quarter. And with changes to the homeowners' controllers, the MIL had Immediate Actual Water Savings (IAWS) of 5.9 million gallons of water (18.2 acre feet) just by reducing long run times and multiple programs on irrigation controllers. These are documented in attachment #1.

The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab will be acknowledged during evaluations and the numerous Rookery Bay Best Management Practices (BMP) training courses and the Waterwise and Other Irrigation Concepts courses available to contractors of Collier County at Rookery Bay. The Big Cypress Basin Urban MIL has also presented power point presentations to the (FFL) Florida Friendly Landscapes at the IFAS extension center in efforts to conserve our natural resource and promote awareness of the MIL. The MIL also reaches the community through PowerPoint presentations and conservation expositions.

Summary

The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab completed 29 evaluations for the third quarter of 2015. The evaluations produced Potential Water Savings (PWS) of 8.7 million gallons of water per year (26.8 acre-feet). Of the 29 evaluations, there were no follow-up evaluations performed for Follow Up Actual Water Savings (FAWS) this quarter. But with changes to the homeowners' controllers, the MIL had Immediate Actual Water Savings (IAWS) of 5.9 million gallons of water per year (18.2 acre-feet) just by reducing long run times and multiple programs on irrigation controllers. The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab evaluated 52 acres of land in 3rd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2105.

The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab Completed the following for the 3rd Quarter of Fiscal 2015:

- 29 evaluations
- no follow-up evaluations
- Naples Daily News Article "Sprinkling away our resources"

Introduction

The Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab's mission is to promote water conservation through on-site evaluations of irrigation systems and conservation education.

Evaluation Methods

There are three levels of evaluation: visual inspection; pressure and flow check; and the efficiency test. Visual inspections are conducted first to determine if the system is in disrepair or has poor coverage. If the system is found to be in poor condition, the other levels of evaluation are not carried out. Pressure and flow checks on individual sprinkler heads or emitters are conducted next. If pressure and flow are found to be uniform, a catch can test is performed to determine optimum run times for the zones in the system.

Common Problems

The average operator is unaware of watering restrictions and what the proper irrigation schedule should be for their lawn and landscape. Most systems evaluated this year were using municipal sources that are expensive to operate or dual systems that have limitations on usage. The main concerns were saving money and water. Most of the evaluations requested this year were from other customer referrals and MIL flyers. The Mobile Irrigation Lab and evaluation report gives system operators and managers a realistic view of what their systems can do and how to improve their systems to save water. The major problems were blocked sprinklers and wrong settings and times on the controllers. Homeowners often have multiple programs running and overlapping other programs. Many rain sensors are bypassed and set too high. Unmatched precipitation rates with rotors on the same zone as fixed sprinklers were found almost on every site. Residents have lawn and landscaping zones watering together and overwatering landscaping areas.

Conservation Education/Outreach

For the third quarter 2015, the Big Cypress Basin Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab had one news article in the Naples Daily News. This educational program is documented in Attachment 3.

Training

The training of the Master Gardeners in Collier County continues with conservation as the main objective around the Extension Office in Naples.

MIL ID:	BCB	FY:	201	15	Qtr	3	Attuon		# 1. BCB MIL 2013				
	DOD		county		System	0	Water			₄PWS	₅FAWS	₆ IAWS	
Zipcode	ID #	Acres	ID	Soil	Туре	Crop	Source	₂DU	Problems	₄rwo Ac-Ft	Ac-Ft	Ac-Ft	FU
34145	57	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	70	10,20,24,32,52,53,54,55	0.6	0.0	0.4	N
34114	58	20.0	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	Lake	65	4,10,20,21,31,32,40,50,52,54,55	8.9	0.0	7.3	N
34112	59	2.0	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	60	3,10,20,21,32,34,40,50,52,53,54,55	1.0	0.0	0.0	Ν
34145	60	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,10,20,21,23,32,34,40,50,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.2	N
34104	61	0.2	Colier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	County	65	3,8,10,20,21,32,40,50,54,55	0.2	0.0	0.2	Ν
34145	62	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	60	3,7,10,20,21,23,24,31,32,33,40,54,55	0.2	0.0	0.2	N
34145	63	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,10,20,21,24,32,40,52,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.2	N
34145	64	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	55	4,,10,11,20,21,22,23,34,40,50,52,54,55	0.4	0.0	0.2	N
34145	65	0.4	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,10,20,21,32,40,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.0	N
34145	66	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	50	3,7,10,20,21,22,23,24,30,32,34,40,51,52,54,55	0.2	0.0	0.3	N
34105	67	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,7,24,31,32,40,50,52,54,55	0.0	0.0	2.6	N
34145	68	0.4	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	50	3,7,10,20,21,23,24,30,32,40,51,52,54,55	0.0	0.0	0.0	N
34145	69	0.5	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	60	3,7,10,20,21,32,34,40,50,5,2,54,55	1.4	0.0	1.3	N
34102	70	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	7,20,21,40,50,52,54,55	0.7	0.0	0.6	N
34102	71	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	60	3,10,20,21,23,25,32,40,50,52,54,55	0.4	0.0	0.3	N
34104	72	1.0	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	70	10,26,30,32,50,52,54,55	2.6	0.0	1.8	N
34145	73	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	70	4,10,32,40,52,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.0	N
34109	74	0.5	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	Well	55	4,10,20,21,22,23,25,32,40,51,52,52,54,55	0.7	0.0	0.6	N
34105	75	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	turf	City	85	7,9,52,54,55	0.0	0.0	0.0	N
34145	76	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	50	3,10,20,21,23,32,40,50,52,54,55	0.5	0.0	0.3	N
34113	77	20.0	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	Reclaimed	70	10,26,30,40,52,54,55	4.5	0.0	0.0	N
34104	78	1.0	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,10,20,21,40,50,54,55	0.6	0.0	0.0	N
34145	79	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	70	3,10,30,32,40,52,54,55	0.6	0.0	0.4	N
34145	80	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	70	3,7,32,34,40,50,52,54,55	0.5	0.0	0.5	N
34120	81	1.5	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	Well	65	10,20,21,23,32,40,52,53,54,55	0.5	0.0	0.4	N
34145	82	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	3,10,20,30,40,50,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.1	N
34145	83	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	60	3,7,10,21,23,24,25,30,32,54,55	0.2	0.0	0.1	N
34145	84	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	65	10,20,21,32,40,52,54,55	0.3	0.0	0.0	N
34145	85	0.3	Collier	.8	Sprinkler	Turf	City	50	4,7,,10,20,21,23,,30,32,40,50,53,54,55	0.6	0.0	0.4	Ν
								+					├──
								\square					\square
								\square					\vdash
		52.356						63		26.8	0.0	18.2	⊨

,

Attachment # 1: BCB MIL 2015

2. Distrubution uniformity

4. Potential Water Savings

5. Follow up actual water savings

6. Instant actual water savings

MOBILE IRRIGATION LAB CONSERVATION EDUCATION REPORT ATTACHMENT 3 BIG CYPRESS BASIN URBAN MOBILE IRRIGATION LAB 3RD QUARTER 2015

DATE	TYPE OF PRESENTATION	NAME OF GROUP	NUMBER ATTENDING	LOCATION	Тіме
April 20th	Naples Daily News Article	Sprinkling Away our Resources	n/a	Collier County	2

2 hours 3rd Quarter

NOTES: EXPOSITIONS ARE GREAT OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE MILS TO GET FAMILIARIZED WITH NEW PRODUCTS AND NEW INNOVATIONS IN THE IRRIGATION INDUSTRY AND ALSO OUTREACH FOR PARTNERSHIPS AND FUNDING.

Appendix A Definitions

AWS and PWS Definitions

The goal of an irrigation evaluation is to determine the capacity and efficiency of an irrigation system. This information is then used to develop a sound Irrigation Management Plan in which, irrigation water is applied only when needed and only in amounts which can be fully utilized by healthy plants.

Properly managed irrigation is used to supplement natural rainfall. The amount of irrigation required annually is the Net Irrigation Requirement (NIR) and is defined as;

NIR = Crop water requirement – Effective rainfall

The efficiency of an irrigation system is defined in terms of Distribution Uniformity (DU) for sprinklers and Emission Uniformity (EU) for microirrigation. These terms are defined in the USDA-NRCS Irrigation Guide. These numbers, in the form of percentages, are used to calculate the run times of irrigation events. The annual water use of a properly managed irrigation system is;

Gross application = NIR/DU or EU

Potential Water Savings (PWS) – The total amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by following the recommendations derived from an irrigation system evaluation.

 $PWS_{(management)}$ - The amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by schedule changes (run time and frequency) alone.

PWS(man) = measured water use - projected water use

PWS(design) – The additional amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by improving the performance of the system and readjusting the schedule.

$NIR/DU_{(present)} - NIR/DU_{(projected)}$

Actual Water Savings (AWS) - The total amount of water which is saved for a period of x years as a direct result of following the recommendations derived from an irrigation system evaluation.

Instant AWS can be achieved if repairs are made, resulting in quantifiable water savings or if the controller settings are adjusted (schedule change) at the time of the evaluation or when the report is delivered.

AWS schedule changes can be documented in person or by phone and AWS design and repairs can be documented by follow-up evaluations.

The following definitions and formulas are taken from the "Mobile Irrigation Laboratory Urban Irrigation Evaluation & Troubleshooting Training Manual" (Mickler1998).

1. Determine average application rate

Meter records water use in gallons

	Volume	
Average application rate =		x 5775.4
	Area x Time	

Where Average application	<i>on rate</i> = Inches per hour (iph)
Volume	= Volume required for needle in water meter to make one
	complete revolution (gal)
Area	= Irrigated area (ft^2)
Time	= Time required for needle in water meter to make one
	complete revolution (s)

No water meter present

	Volume
Flow rate =	x 0.01585
	Time

Where Flow rate	= Gallons per minute (GPM)
Volume	= Volume collected (ml)
Time	= Time that water was collected (s)

2. Determine distribution uniformity

Low quarter average DU ------ x 100 Total average

When DU	= Distribution uniformity in percent
Low quarter average	= Average volume in the 25% of cans that received the
least water (ml)	
Total average	= Average volume of all cans (ml)

3. Determine the effective application rate

Effective application rate = *Average application rate* x *DU*

4. Calculate operating time

		Plant water requirement
Watering time =	=	x 60
		Effective application rate

Where Watering time	= Suggested time that a zone should be operated (min)
Plant watering requirement	= 0.5 or 0.25 depending on location (in)
Effective application rate	= From step 3 (iph)

5. Determine water used per operating cycle

When used per operating cycle is calculated by the following equation:

Current usage = *Flow rate* x *time*

Where Current usage	= Total water used for a given zone per irrigation cycle (gal)
Flow rate	= Determined from equations below (gpm)
Time	= Time a zone is operated during a scheduled irrigation cycle (min)

If water meter records units of gallons, use the following equation:

Flow rate = ---------- x 60 Time

Where *Flow rate* = Flow through a particular zone (gpm) *Time* = Time required for the needle on the meter to make one complete revolution(s)

If no water meter is present, determine the flow rate from each sprinkler within one zone and add them all together.

Where Flow rate = Gallons per minute (gpm)Volume = Volume collected (ml)Time = Time that water was collected

Appendix B Problem Descriptions <u>Problem Descriptions</u> - Problems are irrigation system or management factors that limit irrigation system performance or efficiency. Problems are noted during the site visit, system evaluation, and/or through discussions with the operator.

Code	Description of Problems
	Pressure / Application Rate
1	Under-sized pump for number and type of sprinkler heads or emitters
2	Pressure loss between pump and sprinklers/emitters due to inadequate pipe size
3	Higher pressure than manufacturer's specifications
4	Lower pressure than manufacturer's specifications
5	Low pressure due to water supply
6	Different pressure between manifolds
7	Small wetted area
8	Application rate > soil infiltration rate (ponding)
9	Air in pipelines
10	Turf and landscape area irrigated in the same zone
11	Pressure variation due to elevation differences
	Emitters / Sprinklers
20	Mixed sprinkler/emitter sizes & unmatched precipitation in the same zone
21	Mixed sprinkler/emitter brands or types in the same zone
22	Poor emitter/sprinkler uniformity due to worn orifice
23	Poor overlap due to improper sprinkler/emitter alignment or spacing
24	Various riser heights in same zone
25	Emitter/sprinkler spacing varies in same zone
26	Missing/malfunctioning emitters or sprinklers
27	Missing/malfunctioning pressure gauge/regulator/filter
	Maintenance – Irrigation System
30	Leaks and broken valves, pipe, laterals lines (Poly-tubing), emitters, sprinklers
31	Clogged filter or filter screen
32	Sprinkler heads not properly adjusted, causing overflow on paved areas
33	Clogged emitters/nozzles (due to biological, chemical or physical factors)
34	Leaning sprinklers/emitters causing non-uniform distribution
35	Malfunctioning valves
	Maintenance – Landscape
40	Stream of water blocked by vegetation
41	Variable crop spacing and stage of growth
42	Poor drainage, requiring water control
	Operation / Management
50	Operating time too long
51	Operating time too short
52	Operating time too frequent
53	No rain shut-off device
54	No soil moisture measuring device or rain gage
55	No irrigation water management plan

References

- 1. Anonymous. ????. National Engineering Hand book (NEH), part 652, Irrigation Guide.
- 2. Anonymous. 1998. Florida Irrigation Guide (USDA NRCS)
- 3. Belz, D. J., L. J. Carter, D. A. Dearstyne and J. D. Overing. 1990. Soil Survey of Hendry County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 4. Carter, L., D. Lewis, J. Vega, D. J. Belz, D. Prevost, K. Scalzone, J. Falkenburg, R Murphy, J. Engle, T.D'Avello, M. E. McFadden, and R. Jaros. 2000. Soil Survey of Glades County, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 5. Henderson, W. G. Jr., L. J. Carter, A. L. Moore, R. A. Stein, C. A. Wettstein, and H. Yamataki. 1984. Soil Survey of Charlotte County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 6. Henderson, W. G. Jr., L. J. Carter, A. L. Moore, R. A. Stein, C. A. Wettstein, and H. Yamataki. 1984. Soil Survey of Lee County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 7. Liudahl, K. H., D. J. Belz, L. Carey, R. W. Drew, S. Fisher, and R. Pate. 1998. Soil Survey of Collier County Area, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 8. McCollum, S. H., O.E. Cruz, L. T. Stem, W. T. Wittstruck, R. D. Ford, and F. C. Watts, 1978. Soil Survey of Palm Beach County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 9. McCollum, S. H., O.E. Cruz, D. J. Belz, L. J. Carter, and D. J. deFrancesco, 1981. Soil Survey of Martin County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 10. Mickler, J. C. 1998. Mobile Irrigation Laboratory Urban Irrigation Evaluation & Troubleshooting Training Manual. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 11. Noble, C. V., R. W. Drew, and J. D. Slabaugh. 1996. Soil Survey of Dade County Area, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 12. Pendleton, R. F. H. D, Dollar, L. Law, Jr., S. H. McCollum, and D. J. Belz. 1984. Soil Survey of Broward County, Florida Eastern Part. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 13. Watts, F. C., D. L. Stanky, M. J. Jones, and R. H. Lisante. 1980. Soil Survey of St. Lucie County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
- 14. Zazueta, F.S., A. Brockway, L. Landrum, and B. McCarty, 1989. Turf Irrigation for the Home. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, IFAS, University of Florida Circular 839.

USDA Non Discrimination Statement

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer."